arXiv:1510.02133v1 [math.DS] 7 Oct 2015

Sequential gradient dynamics in real analytic Morse systems

H. Işıl Bozma and Ferit Öztürk

Abstract. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ be a compact connected M-dimensional real analytic domain with boundary and φ be a primal navigation function; i.e. a real analytic Morse function on Ω with a unique minimum and with minus gradient vector field G of φ on $\partial\Omega$ pointed inwards along each coordinate. Related to a robotics problem, we define a sequential hybrid process on Ω for G starting from any initial point q_0 in the interior of Ω as follows: at each step we restrict ourselves to an affine subspace where a collection of coordinates are fixed and allow the other coordinates change along an integral curve of the projection of G onto the subspace. We prove that provided each coordinate appears infinitely many times in the coordinate choices during the process, the process converges to a critical point of φ. That critical point is the unique minimum for a dense subset of primal navigation functions. We also present an upper bound for the total length of the trajectories close to a critical point.

1. Introduction

We consider the d-dimensional closed unit ball $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$) and N objects (point particles or small disks with various radii) that we call *robots*. Each robot has an initial position and a target position in the interior of D. A problem stated in e.g. [4], [5], [8], [12], is to navigate the robots from their initial to the target positions without overlapping each other nor the boundary of D. The configuration space of nonoverlapping positions of the robots considered as point particles is a noncompact manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$, and is connected except for $d = 1, N > 1$ [3]. Allowing nonzero radii for robots, the configuration space of nonoverlapping positions (touching allowed) is a compact manifold-with-boundary Ω in $D^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{dN}$. Connectedness depends on the relative values of the radii; in particular if the radii of the robots are sufficiently

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34C07; Secondary 58A07, 37C10.

Key words and phrases. Real analytic gradient flows, Hybrid navigation, Morse systems, Descent methods, Lojasiewicz gradient inequality.

small then the configuration space is connected (see e.g. [2]). In the sequel we assume that Ω is connected.

The initial and target positions determine two points, say q_0 and p respectively, in the interior of Ω. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a small, open neighborhood of Ω. Suppose there is a C^2 function $\varphi : \tilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) φ is Morse on Ω;
(ii) $-\nabla\varphi$ is inwards on $\partial\Omega$;
(iii) φ has a unique minimum at p; there $\varphi(p) = 0$.

Note that the condition that the domain of φ contains $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ properly guarantees that the derivative of φ on $\partial\Omega$ is defined. Now it is well known through Morse theory that the integral curve γ_{q_0} of the vector field $-\nabla\varphi$ through $q_0 \in \Omega$ converges to a point $q \in \Omega$ and provided that q_0 is not chosen in some set of measure zero, we have $q = p$ (see e.g. [13]). More precisely, the measure zero set is the complement of the stable manifold W_p^s of p in Ω. This solves the navigation problem of the N robots; they move in D simultaneously along trajectories determined by γ_{q_0} and each converges to its target point provided that $q_0 \in W_p^s$. In [8], such a Morse function has been constructed using the basic idea that each robot will repel each other and will be attracted by its target point. Furthermore the function has been constructed real analytic.

In the present article, we ask a related question: suppose that the robots are allowed to move one at a time cyclically rather than simultaneously. Can the same function φ and its minus gradient still be used to solve this navigation problem? Let us make precise what we mean.

Let φ be a real analytic function satisfying (i)-(iii) above on a compact connected real analytic domain with boundary (i.e. analytically diffeomorphic to a closed ball) Ω in $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$ and $G = -\nabla\varphi$. By definition the vector field G is real analytic. We will denote the points of $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$ by $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) = ((y_1, \ldots, y_d), \ldots, (y_{dN-d+1}, \ldots, y_{dN}))$ with $x_m = (y_{dm-d+1}, \ldots, y_{dm}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. (Now and below, x_m is a d-tuple and $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$; m always denotes an index in $1, \ldots, N$ and j an index in $J = \{1, \ldots, dN\}$.)

Let $\mathbf{n}$ be a subset of J. For a point $a \in \Omega$, we let

$$R_a^{\mathbf{n}} \doteq \{(y_1, \ldots, y_{dN}) | y_t = a_t \text{ for all } t \in J - \mathbf{n}\} \cap \Omega$$

and call it the *slice of type* $\mathbf{n}$ through a. To keep the notation simple we will denote the particular slice through a of type $\mathbf{n} = \{dm - d + 1, \ldots, dm\}$ by R_a^m and we will call it a slice of type m.

Let us consider an initial point $q_0 \in \mathrm{int}(\Omega)$ and the vector field $G^1_{q_0}$ over $R^1_{q_0}$ defined for each $x \in R^1_{q_0}$ by $G^1_{q_0}(x) = \mathrm{pr}_1(G(x)) = -\nabla(\varphi|_{R^1_{q_0}})$ Here pr_i is the projection map onto the i-th d-tuple of coordinates. Observe that $G^1_{q_0}$ is a real analytic gradient vector field. In the sequel we will drop the subscript and write G^1 in case no confusion arises. Now, Łojasiewicz's theorem states that any integral curve of the gradient flow of a real analytic function that has a nonempty ω-limit set has a unique limit [7]. Thus in our case if the orbit of the vector field $G^1_{q_0}$ through q_0 stays in $R^1_{q_0}$, it converges to a unique point, say $q_1 \in R^1_{q_0}$, which is a singular point of the vector field $G^1_{q_0}$. Let us denote the closure of that orbit by γ_1, which starts from q_0 and ends at q_1.

At this point, we will have an extra condition to guarantee that the orbits stay in Ω. For each $a \in \partial\Omega$ let $f_a \in C^\omega(\mathbb{R}^{dN}, \mathbb{R})$ be a defining analytic function for $\partial\Omega$ in an open subset U_a containing a. Suppose that ∇f_a is outward. Then we impose the following condition which is stronger than condition (ii) above:

(ii)′ For every $a \in \partial\Omega$ and $x \in U_a \cap \partial\Omega$, the angle between $\nabla\varphi(x)$ and $\nabla f_a(x)$ is sufficiently small so that for every $j \in J$, the j-th component of $\nabla\varphi(x)$ and $\nabla f_a(x)$ have the same sign (or are zero).

This condition is equivalent to having the projected vector field $-\nabla(\varphi|_{R^{\mathbf{n}}_a})$ inwards (or zero) at the boundary of every slice $R^{\mathbf{n}}_a$, for each $a \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{n} \subset J$. Observe that (ii)′ is satisfied if, for example, the angle between $\nabla\varphi$ and ∇f_a is identically zero on $\partial\Omega$. If, furthermore, $\partial\Omega$ is given as a level set of a unique real analytic function, this situation is nothing but the admissibility of a navigation function referred in e.g. [9], [10], [8].

Now recursively, at the k-th step, let $m_k = (k \mod N) + 1$. We consider the orbit through the point q_{k-1} of the vector field $G^{m_k}_{q_{k-1}}$ over the slice $R^{m_k}_{q_{k-1}}$. Again by Lojasiewicz's theorem and thanks to the condition (ii)′, the orbit converges to a unique singular point, say, q_k. We denote the closure of that orbit by γ_k. Note that $R^{m_k}_{q_k} = R^{m_k}_{q_{k-1}}$. We call q_k's the stationary points of the process. We will say that the point q_k is of type m_k; i.e. type-m points are the limits of integral curves on type-m slices. This process producing the sequence $(q_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of points will be called a *sequential gradient dynamical process* associated to the slices R^m_x. Note that there are infinitely many points of the sequence $(q_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of type m for each $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

We deal with the following question: For what values of q_0 does the sequence (q_k) converge to the unique minimum p? For other values

of q_0 does (q_k) converge? Our purpose in this article is to prove the following theorem that answers these two questions. To state that, we will need a definition: we call a real analytic function satisfying the conditions (i), (ii)′, (iii) above a *primal navigation function.*

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{dN}$ be a compact connected real analytic domain with boundary; $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a primal navigation function with the unique minimum at $p \in int(\Omega)$ and $q_0 \in int(\Omega)$ be an arbitrary initial point. Then the sequence $(q_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of the sequential gradient dynamical process converges to a critical point of φ.*

Moreover, there is a primal navigation function ψ on Ω with the same minimum p such that ψ is arbitrarily C^{ω}-close to φ, and that the process for ψ converges to p for all initial points q_0 except when q_0 is a saddle point.

In any case of convergence above, it is possible to have (q_k) ultimately constant or there may be infinitely many nontrivial steps of the process.

The proofs of the first two claims are given in Section 2 as Lemma 3, Corollary 4 and Lemma 5. They depend heavily on φ's being real analytic and Morse. The main tool in the proofs is the Łojasiewicz inequality [6]: For q a critical point of the real analytic function φ and $\varphi(q) = 0$, there are a neighborhood U of q, $c > 0$ and $\mu \in [0, 1)$ satisfying for all $x \in U$,

$$|\nabla\varphi(x)| > c|\varphi(x)|^{\mu}. \tag{1}$$

We will call the triple (U, c, μ) a Łojasiewicz neighborhood. Being Morse will be used only in Lemma 5. The examples for the last claim of the theorem are presented in Section 3.2.

The proof of Theorem 1 is valid for a more general claim regarding a more general set-up for the process. Consider a sequence $(\mathbf{n}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, M\}$ ($M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$) and the slices $R_a^{\mathbf{n}_i} \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ through $a \in \Omega$ of type $\mathbf{n}_i$ We define the process associated to the sequence $(\mathbf{n}_i)$ as follows: at the i-th step the dynamics occurs in the slice $R_{q_{i-1}}^{\mathbf{n}_i}$ and converges to the point q_i. Then we have the following

Theorem 2. *$\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, p, q_0 and $(\mathbf{n}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be as above. Suppose each index j ($1 \leq j \leq M$) appears infinitely many times as elements of the sets of the sequence $(\mathbf{n}_i)$. Then the sequence $(q_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of the sequential gradient dynamics associated to the sequence $(\mathbf{n}_i)$ converges to a critical point of φ.*

Moreover, there is a primal navigation function ψ on Ω with the same minimum p such that ψ is arbitrarily C^{ω}-close to φ, and that the process for ψ converges to p for all initial points q_0 except when q_0 is a saddle point.

The proof of Theorem 2 follows closely that of Theorem 1, both of which we will present below.

2. Proof of the theorems

Before stating and proving the claims, we will need several definitions and observations.

First, for the sake of simplicity of the notation, let us restrict ourselves (except in Lemma 5) to the case $d = 2$. ($d = 1$ oversimplifies the problem [3].) Whatever we present and claim below applies similarly for other dimensions as well. Now, let $\Gamma_j \subset \Omega$ denote the set of points at which the vector field G has the j-th component zero; i.e.

$$\Gamma_j = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, \varphi_j(x) = 0\}$$

where $\varphi_j(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j}(x)$. Observe that every critical point of φ lies in Γ_j for each $j = 1, \ldots, 2N$. Let z be a nondegenerate critical point of φ. Since the Hessian of φ at z is nondegenerate by assumption, $\nabla\varphi_j(z)$ is nonzero and $\nabla\varphi_j(z)$ and $\nabla\varphi_i(z)$ are linearly independent for every pair of distinct j and i. Hence we conclude that around z each Γ_j and $\Gamma_{j,i} := \Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_i$ are smooth real analytic submanifolds of Ω of codim 1 and 2 respectively. Furthermore, again thanks to the nondegeneracy assumption, the tangent spaces of Γ_j's at z constitute a central hyperplane arrangement of coordinate planes. Therefore, the intersection of any collection consisting of n of Γ_j's is a smooth real analytic submanifold around z as well, of codim n.

Now let us investigate the process more closely in case φ is a real analytic Morse function. The point q_k of type m_k which the process converges to at k-th step lies in the codim-2 (in general codim-d) submanifold $\Gamma_{2m_k-1,2m_k}$; in other words, k-th step converges to a point in $\Gamma_{2m_k-1,2m_k} \cap R^{m_k}_{q_k}$. At that point G is perpendicular to the slice. We may view the process as a chase within the subspaces Γ_m's, which are submanifolds of codim 2 near the critical points. So, for example, in a neighborhood of some $q \in \Omega$ if $\Gamma_m \cap \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq\varphi(q)})$ is empty for some m then the process cannot converge to q.

Now we are ready for the claims and proofs. In the first two statements, we assume that φ is a real analytic function, not necessarily Morse. Observe that the process is still well-defined in the absence of being Morse.

Lemma 3. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real analytic function. Consider the sequence $(q_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of stationary points of the process described above. If $q \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence (q_k) then it is a critical point of φ.*

Proof. Suppose that (q_k) is not ultimately constant so that every neighborhood of q contains infinitely many distinct q_k's. Either every neighborhood of q contains infinitely many q_k's of type m for every $m = 1, \ldots, N$ or not. In the former case by the continuity of G, we must have $G(q) = 0$ which proves the lemma. Now we prove by contradiction that the latter case is not possible. Indeed, suppose there is an open ball U centered at q which does not contain any point of the sequence of, say, type 1 and contains infinitely many points q_{k_i-1} of type N.

We assumed that each curve γ_{k_i}, starting from the point q_{k_i-1}, leaves U and converges to the point $q_{k_i} \notin \bar{U}$. For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a sufficiently large K such that if $i > K$ we have

$$\epsilon > \varphi(q_{k_i-1}) - \varphi(q_{k_i}) > \int_{\gamma_{k_i} \cap U} |G^1_{k_i}| > \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}(U) \cdot \mathrm{avg}(|G^1_{k_i}|) \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{avg}(|G^1_{k_i}|)$ is the average of $|G^1_{k_i}|$ over $\gamma_{k_i} \cap U$. Let $A = \bigcup_i \gamma_{k_i}$ and $\gamma = (\mathrm{closure}(A) - A) \cap U$. The set γ can be considered as the set of limit points in U of all point sequences (p_l) with $p_l \in \gamma_{k_l}$, (l) being any increasing sequence of positive integers. Observe that γ lies in the intersection of the type-1 slice R^1_q and the $\varphi(q)$-level set. Moreover it follows from (2) that G^1_q is zero on γ, i.e. all points of γ are critical points of G^1_q. (Loosely speaking γ is the *limit* of the arcs $\gamma_{k_i} \cap \bar{U}$ however we do not claim and do not need that γ is a real analytic arc.)

Now since $\bar{\gamma} \subset \bar{U}$ is compact and all its points are critical points of G^1_q and $\varphi(\bar{\gamma}) = \varphi(q)$, then one can choose a Łojasiewicz neighborhood $W \subset R^1_q \cap U$ of γ such that, assuming $\varphi(q) = 0$, there are $c > 0$ and $\mu \in [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$|G^1_q(x)| > \frac{c}{2}|\varphi(x)|^\mu,$$

for all $x \in W$. (The triple (W, c, μ) is found as follows: first choose a Łojasiewicz neighborhood (W_x, c_x, μ_x) of every point $x \in \gamma$, then take a finite subcollection (W_l, c_l, μ_l) covering $\bar{\gamma}$ and set $W = \cup W_l \cap U$, $c = \min_l c_l$ and $\mu = \max_l \mu_l$.) By the continuity of G^1_u with respect to point u, one can extend W to an open $V \subset U$ such that

$$|G^1_u(x)| \geq c|\varphi(x)|^\mu, \tag{3}$$

for all $u \in V$ and $x \in R^1_u$. The set V can be chosen smaller (as a neighborhood of γ) such that $\sup_V \varphi < \delta$ where $\delta > 0$ is as small as required. Note that $\mathrm{diam}(U) \geq \mathrm{diam}(V) \geq \mathrm{diam}(\gamma) \geq \mathrm{diam}(U)/2$ and that, say,

$$\text{length } (\gamma_{k_i} \cap V) \geq \mathrm{diam}(V)/2. \tag{4}$$

for large i's. On the other hand using (3) we observe, as in the proof of Łojasiewicz inequality (see e.g. [11]), that there is a finite upper bound, for sufficiently large i but independent from i, for the length of γ_{k_i} in V:

$$\int_{\gamma_{k_i}(t)\cap V\neq\emptyset} |\dot{\gamma_{k_i}}|dt = \int_0^{t_1} |\dot{\gamma_{k_i}}(t)|dt \leq c_1(\varphi(\gamma_{k_i}(t_1)))^{1-\mu} < c\delta^{1-\mu}.$$

where $c_1 = (c(1-\mu))^{-1}$. Here t_1 is the moment when γ_{k_i} leaves U. This upper bound can be made arbitrarily small (that holds for larger i), in particular, much less than $\mathrm{diam}(V)$. This contradicts with (4). Therefore there must be infinitely many points of type 1 in U and the proof by contradiction follows recursively. □

From the above proof, it follows that sufficiently small neighborhoods of an accumulation point do not let trajectories out. This proves the following statement.

Corollary 4. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real analytic function and q an accumulation point of the sequence (q_k) of stationary points of the process. Then $\lim_{k\to+\infty} q_k = q$.*

To finish the proof of the main theorem, we need the following

Lemma 5. *Let $\varphi : \tilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a primal navigation function on $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Then except when the initial point is a saddle point, convergence to a saddle point is impossible for a C^ω-dense subset of primal navigation functions.*

Proof. Let $q = 0$, $\varphi(q) = 0$, s be the index of φ at q and (U, f) be a real analytic Morse neighborhood of q, i.e. $f : (\mathbb{R}^{dN}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^{dN}, 0)$ such that $g \doteq \varphi \circ f^{-1} : (U, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}, 0), (y_1, \ldots, y_{dN}) \mapsto -y_1^2 - \ldots - y_s^2 + y_{s+1}^2 + \ldots + y_{dN}^2$.

Now in this new setting, $-\nabla g$ has the first s components nonnegative. Therefore the first s coordinates of the position cannot converge to 0 unless they are already 0. A necessary condition for that is when $q_k \in U_0 = U \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{dN-s})$ for some $k > 0$. Furthermore in such a case, convergence is possible only when there are slices which contain integral curves in their intersection with U_0; more precisely, for the slice S with the projected vector field G_S, $U_0 \cap S$ contains some integral curves of G_S. We will argue that such a case does not occur for a C^ω-dense subset of primal navigation functions.

First we perturb φ to a primal navigation function ψ with the same minimum point p such that the local stable manifold U_q of each critical point q of ψ and the slices R_x^m (for every m and $x \in U_q$ sufficiently

close to q) intersect transversely. It is straightforward to see that a sufficiently small generic real analytic coordinate change in a small neighborhood of q achieves this locally. For example, a small generic rotation centered at the critical point would work. However we need further that this real analytic perturbation can be done globally, fixing p. We propose the following construction in case Ω is the closed unit ball. The construction in the general case will follow since Ω is analytically diffeomorphic to the closed unit ball. Let o be a point in the interior of Ω such that for each critical point q of φ the line oq is transverse and non-orthogonal to $\bigcup_{1\leq m\leq N} R_q^m \cap U_q$, i.e. each intersection $R_q^m \cap U_q$ is non-tangent to oq and has a radial (i.e. oq) component. Since these conditions are open, the set of such o's is open and dense in Ω. Below we take o as the origin in the unit closed ball Ω. The construction below can be made for the general case similarly.

Now we consider the real analytic diffeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R}^{dN} \to \mathbb{R}^{dN}$, $h(x) = x + bx\frac{\sin ar^2}{r^2}$ where r is the distance $|ox|$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We require a satisfy $|op|^2 = 2\pi k/a$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The radial perturbance h fixes p and moves the other critical points slightly, the extent of which can be controlled by b. One can choose a such that the radial perturbance of each slice at each critical point is nontrivial, which is required for transversality. We also choose the domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ of φ so that the condition (ii)$'$ is satisfied in $\tilde{\Omega} - V$ where V is a compact subset of Ω. By making b small, one can have h arbitrarily C^ω-close to id and $h(\tilde{\Omega}) \supset \Omega$. These choices guarantee that the function $\psi = \varphi \circ h : h^{-1}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined and is a real analytic Morse function with the same minimum as φ; its critical points are in one to one correspondence with, arbitrarily close to and of the same index as those of φ. Moreover the condition (ii)$'$ is satisfied by ψ on $\partial\Omega$ provided that h is sufficiently C^ω-close to id. Thus we obtain a primal navigation function satisfying the required transversality conditions.

Finally let us note that since the condition that $R_q^m \cap U_q$ contains integral curves of G_q^m is a closed condition, the construction above gives the perturbation required for almost all o, infinitely many a and sufficiently small b. □

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Since Ω is compact, the sequence (q_k) has an accumulation point, which is a critical point of φ by Corollary 4. The proof follows from Lemma 5 except the last sentence of the theorem. We assert the last sentence by giving explicit examples for each case in Section 3.2 below. □

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof will be immediate after modifying the definition of the *type of a point*: we say that q_k has type j if $G(q_k)$ has the j-th component 0. Note that q_k has type j only if the previous slice of the process has type $\mathbf{n}_k$ such that $j \in \mathbf{n}_k$.

Now we start as in Lemma 3. Suppose that every neighborhood of q contains infinitely many distinct sequence points. Either every neighborhood of q contains infinitely many q_k's of type j for every $j = 1, \dots, M$ or not. In the former case by the continuity of G, we must have $G(q) = 0$ so that we reach the conclusion of Lemma 3. In the latter case suppose there is an open ball U centered at q which contains a subsequence (q_{k_i-1}) of points of type, say, 1. Suppose infinitely many $\mathbf{n}_{k_i}$'s contain the index, say, 2. Denote the corresponding point subsequence by (q_{l_i-1}). Then the main body of Lemma 3 proves that all type 2 sequence points q_{l_i} are contained in U. The sets $\mathbf{n}_{k_i}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{l_i+1}$ corresponding to the type 1 points q_{k_i-1} and type 2 points q_{l_i} respectively, either contain a different index than 1 and 2 infinitely many times, or ultimately the indices that appear are nothing but 1 or 2. In the latter case the subsequence (q_{l_i-1}, q_{l_i}) constitute a tail of (q_k) so that this latter case presents a contradiction to the assumption of the theorem that all indices appear infinitely many times. Hence we are left with the former case and the claim of Lemma 3 follows recursively as before.

Proof of Lemma 5 works exactly the same. □

3. Further discussion and examples

3.1. **Convergence analysis.** Considering the proof of Lemma 3 above, more can be said about convergence to a critical point. Through a more explicit convergence analysis one can control the lengths of the trajectories. This analysis shall reflect the nature of the process near a critical point.

Let q be a critical point, $\varphi(q) = 0$. We will bound from above the total length of the trajectories once the sequence gets sufficiently close to q in U. More precisely here is our claim.

Proposition 6. *Let $(U = B_r(q), c, \mu)$ be a Łojasiewicz neighborhood of q. Suppose that for some $c' > 1/(c(1-\mu))$ there is a $q_l \in U$ satisfying*

$$c'\varphi^{1-\mu}(q_l) < r \tag{5}$$

and that $q_i \in U$ for $l \leq i \leq n$ for some $n > l$. Then the total length of the trajectories $(\gamma_i)_{l<i\leq n}$ is less than r.

Proof. We use the idea in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.2], where the problem considered involves a fixed vector field, and a completely different

set-up. Set $\delta = 1/(cc'(1-\mu))$ (observe $0 < \delta < 1$). For each $l < i \leq n$ choose $\epsilon_i > 0$ such that on the ϵ_i-neighborhood $B_i = B_{\epsilon_i}(q_i) \cap R^i_{q_i}$ on the slice $R^i_{q_i}$ (that contains the points q_{i-1} and q_i and the curve γ_i), the curve γ_i satisfies the *angle condition*:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\gamma_i(t)) = \langle \nabla\varphi(\gamma_i(t)), \dot{\gamma}_i(t)\rangle \leq -\delta|\nabla\varphi(\gamma_i(t))| \cdot |\dot{\gamma}_i(t)|. \tag{6}$$

Such ϵ_i's exist since at point q_i, $\nabla\varphi(q_i)$ is perpendicular to the slice $R^i_{q_i}$. Now if necessary, we make each ϵ_i smaller so that the corresponding B_i becomes a Łojasiewicz neighborhood for the vector field $G^{m_i}_{q_i}$ too (here q_i is of type m_i), i.e. there are $c_i > 0$ and $\mu_i \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x \in B_i$, we have

$$|G^{m_i}_{q_i}(x)| \geq c_i|\varphi(x) - \varphi(q_i)|^{\mu_i}. \tag{7}$$

Set $c'_i = 1/(c_i\delta(1-\mu_i))$.

Finally let $\Delta > 0$ be given. Make ϵ_i's further smaller, if necessary, so that for every $a \in \{\mu, \mu_{l+1}, \ldots, \mu_n\}$ and for every $x \in \bar{B}_i$:

$$|\varphi(x)^{1-a} - \varphi(q_i)^{1-a}| < \frac{\Delta}{C(n-l)}, \tag{8}$$

where $C = \max\{c'_i \,|\, l < i \leq n\}$. This can be fullfilled since each φ^{1-a} is continuous. Then we have the total length of the trajectories γ_i,

$(l < i \leq n)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_i} |\dot{x}| \\
&= \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} \left(\int_0^{t_i} |\dot{x}| + \int_{t_i}^{\infty} |\dot{x}| \right), \ t_i \text{ is when } \gamma_i \text{ enters } B_i \text{ the last time} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} c' \left(\varphi(q_{i-1})^{1-\mu} - \varphi(\gamma_i(t_i))^{1-\mu} \right) \qquad \text{using (1), (6), (7)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} c'_i \left(\varphi(\gamma_i(t_i))^{1-\mu_i} - \varphi(q_i)^{1-\mu_i} \right), \qquad \text{and [1, Equation 2.6]} \\
&\leq c' \varphi(q_l)^{1-\mu} - c' \varphi(\gamma_n(t_n))^{1-\mu} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-1} c' \left(\varphi(q_i)^{1-\mu} - \varphi(\gamma_i(t_i))^{1-\mu} \right) \qquad \text{(these terms are negative)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} c'_i \left(\varphi(\gamma_i(t_i))^{1-\mu_i} - \varphi(q_i)^{1-\mu_i} \right) \\
&< c' \varphi(q_l)^{1-\mu} \qquad \text{other terms are negative} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} c'_i \frac{\Delta}{C(n-l)}, \qquad (8) \\
&< r + \Delta, \qquad (5) \text{ and } c'_i \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Δ was arbitrary, we deduce $L \leq r$. □

We close this section with a consequence of the proposition.

Corollary 7. *With the hypotheses of Proposition 6 suppose $q_i \in U$ for all $i \geq l$ (so that $\lim q_i = q$). Then the total length of the trajectories $(\gamma_i)_{i>l}$ is less than r.*

3.2. **Examples.** A case where the process hits a critical point q after a finite number of steps is easy to cook up locally (see Figure 1(a)). We have seen that this case is not generic. In fact Figure 1(b) illustrates a small generic real analytic local perturbation of (a) where there is no initial q_0 for which the process hits 0.

Similarly, Figure 2 depicts a situation where convergence to a saddle point is provided by a set of initial points of positive area. Note that this case occurs only if a slice lies in an stable manifold and the slice contains an integral curve of the projected gradient system.

One might ask to herself if infinitely many steps is ever required for convergence to a critical point. The answer is affirmative and an example for a minimum point is depicted in Figure 3.



Figure 1. Integral curves of a function. (a)The process hits q after finitely many steps; (b) A small perturbation spoils the convergence; by definition the process starts with a type-1 step.



Figure 2. Level curves of a function. The initial points in the shaded region start a process converging to the saddle point.

It is not difficult either to imagine a case where infinitely many steps is needed for convergence to a saddle point. Let $d = 1$, $N = 3$, $s = 1$, $b = 0$ and $c = 2$, and the type-1, -2, -3 slices be lines parallel to x-, y- and z-axes respectively. We consider the Morse function $f(x, y, z) = (x - z)^2 + 2(x + z)^2 - 2y(3x + z)$ which has a unique critical point at 0. Observe that the curve $\beta = \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ lies in $0 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We start from an initial point $q_0 \in (0 \times \mathbb{R}^2) - \alpha$, where $\alpha = \Lambda_1 \cap (0 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. The type-1 steps terminate at points on the curve α, which are critical points for the type-2 steps as well. Thus the process makes zigzags between α and β via type-1 and type-3 steps. The behaviour on $0 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is exactly the same as in Figure 3.



Figure 3. $a(x+y)^2 + b(x-y)^2 = 1, a \neq b$. Infinite steps needed for convergence to 0.

References

[1] Absil P. A.; Mahony R.; Andrews B. Convergence of iterates of descent methods for analytic cost functions. SIAM J. Optim. Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 531-547, 2005.

[2] Baryshnikov, Y.; Bubenik, P.; Kahle, M. Min-type Morse theory for configuration spaces of hard spheres. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014, no. 9, 25772592.

[3] Bozma, H.I., D.E.Koditschek. Assembly as a Noncooperative Game of its Pieces: Analysis of 1D Sphere Assemblies, Robotica, pp: 93-108, Jan 2001.

[4] Farber, M. Topological complexity of motion planning. Discrete and Computational Geometry,Vol. 29, No. 2, 211-221, 2003

[5] Cohen, D. C.; Farber, M. Topological complexity of collision-free motion planning on surfaces. Compos. Math. 147 (2011), no. 2, 649-660.

[6] Łojasiewicz, S. Une propriété topologique des sous-ensembles analytiques réels. Colloques Internationaux du C.N.R.S. no 117, les equations aux dérivées partielles, Paris 25 – 30 juin (1962), p. 87-89

[7] Łojasiewicz, S. Sur les trajectoires du gradient d'une fonction analytique. Geometry seminars, 1982-1983 (Bologna, 1982/1983), 115–117, Univ. Stud. Bologna, Bologna, 1984.

[8] Karagöz C. S.; Bozma H. I.; Koditschek Daniel E. Coordinated Navigation of Multiple Independent Disk-Shaped Robots. IEEE Trans. on Robotics 30 (2014), no. 6, 1289–1304.

[9] Koditschek, D. E.; Rimon, E. Robot navigation functions on manifolds with boundary. Adv. in Appl. Math. 11 (1990), no. 4, 412–442.

[10] Rimon, E.; Koditschek, D. E. The construction of analytic diffeomorphisms for exact robot navigation on star worlds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 327 (1991), no. 1, 71–116.

[11] Kurdyka, K.; Mostowski, T.; Parusiński, A. Proof of the gradient conjecture of R. Thom. Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 3, 763–792.

[12] Latombe, J.-C. Robot motion planning (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991).

[13] Milnor, J. Morse theory. Based on lecture notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1963.

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, İstanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: bozma@boun.edu.tr

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi, Department of Mathematics, İstanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: ferit.ozturk@boun.edu.tr